Natural Resources Conservation Service Application Ranking Summary Border-Roosevelt SWCD - AFO

Program: EQIP 2002	Ranking Date:
Ranking Tool: Border-Roosevelt SWCD - AFO	
Final Ranking Score:	
Planner:	
Farm Location:	

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable	60 Point(s)
reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides,	
excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point	
source contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	60 Point(s)
amount of ground or surface water conservation?	
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	60 Point(s)
reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile	
organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air	
quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	20 Point(s)
reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on	
agricultural land?	
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	50 Point(s)
increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. AFO #1 - An approved CNMP is already in place?	25 Point(s)
2. AFO #2 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the	50 Point(s)
threat of ground water pollution?	
3. AFO #3 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the	50 Point(s)
threat of surface water pollution?	
4. AFO #4 - The contract will include practices that will reduce nitrate levels to	50 Point(s)
10 ppm or less?	
5. AFO #5 - The collection and transport system is inadequate, but will be	25 Point(s)
significantly improved?	
6. AFO #6 - The storage and treatment facilities are inadequate, but will be	25 Point(s)
significantly improved?	
7. AFO #7 - Manure utilization is inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	25 Point(s)

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Does this applicant have a terminated EQIP contract for non-compliance?	-50 Point(s)
2. Does the applicant have and are they following a current CNMP?	100 Point(s)
3. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well $<$ or $= 100$ feet?	20 Point(s)
4. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well 101 - 250 feet?	15 Point(s)
5. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well 251 - 500 feet?	10 Point(s)
6. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well 501 - 1320 feet?	5 Point(s)

Natural Resources Conservation Service Application Ranking Summary Border-Roosevelt SWCD - AFO

Issue Questions	Dognongog
7. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well > 1320	Responses 0 Point(s)
· · ·	o ronn(s)
feet?	20 D. (a.(a.)
	30 Point(s)
10 feet?	20 P : (()
9. Is the distance from the ground surface to the top of the seasonal water table 11	20 Point(s)
- 50 feet?	
10. Is the distance from the ground surface to the top of the seasonal water table	10 Point(s)
51 - 100 feet?	
	5 Point(s)
101 - 200 feet?	
12. Is the distance from the ground surface to the top of the seasonal water table >	0 Point(s)
200 feet?	
13. Does an analysis of monitoring wells indicate groundwater nitrate	100 Point(s)
contamination of > 20 ppm?	
14. Does an analysis of monitoring wells indicate groundwater nitrate	80 Point(s)
contamination of 15 - 20 ppm?	
15. Does an analysis of monitoring wells indicate groundwater nitrate	60 Point(s)
contamination of 10 - 15 ppm?	
16. Does an analysis of monitoring wells indicate groundwater nitrate	40 Point(s)
contamination of 5 - 10 ppm?	
17. Does an analysis of monitoring wells indicate groundwater nitrate	20 Point(s)
contamination of 0 - 5 ppm?	
18. Storage and treatment equipment and facilities are non-existent.	20 Point(s)
19. Storage and treatment equipment and facilities exist but are inadequate.	10 Point(s)
20. Storage and treatment equipment and facilities are adequate.	0 Point(s)
21. Collection and transfer equipment and facilities are non-existent?	20 Point(s)
22. Collection and transfer equipment and facilities exist but are inadequate?	10 Point(s)
	` ,
23. Collection and transfer equipment and facilities are adequate?	0 Point(s)
24. Seepage equipment and facilities are non-existent.	20 Point(s)
25. Seepage equipment and facilities exist but are inadequate.	10 Point(s)
26. Seepage equipment and facilities are adequate?	0 Point(s)
28. Is the current phosphorus risk very high (Phosphorus index worksheet for	10 Point(s)
NM)?	、
	7 Point(s)
	` '
30. Is the current phosphorus risk medium (Phosphorus index worksheet for	5 Point(s)
NM)?	` '
31. Is the current phosphorus risk low (Phosphorus index worksheet for NM)?	2 Point(s)
	(-)
32. Is the current phosphorus risk very low (Phosphorus index worksheet for	0 Point(s)
NM)?	0.10111(0)
33. Is there currently a potential for leaching (Irrigated Leaching index and	10 Point(s)
leaching requirement for salt management form)?	101011(8)
34. Is the animal density status low?	30 Point(s)
35. Is the animal density status new?	20 Point(s)
36. Is the animal density status incutain:	10 Point(s)
37. Is the animal density status extra high?	0 Point(s)
	40 Point(s)
from MESA to LEPA?	70 1 Omit(3)
38. Will this application result in a center pivot irrigation system being converted	20 Point(s)
from MESA to LESA?	20 1 omt(s)
	10 Point(s)
from LESA to LEPA?	10.10111((3)
HOILLESA (O LEFA:	